

subject

CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20505

27 October 1978

Dr. Herbert Fusfeld, Director
Center for Science and Technology Policy
Graduate School of Public Administration
New York University
4 Washington Square
New York, New York 10003

Dear Herb,

I believe you might like to have the attached list.

We are very grateful to you for attending and participating in our dinner. Such a lively discussion is always welcome.

Sincerely,



Coordinator for Academic Relations and
External Analytical Support

STAT

Attachment

CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20505

27 October 1978

Dr. Betsy Anker-Johnson
Associate Director of the
Argonne National Laboratory
9700 South Cass Avenue
Argonne, Illinois 60439

Dear Betsy,

I believe you might like to have the attached list.

We are very grateful to you for attending and
participating in our dinner. Such a lively discussion
is always welcome.

Sincerely,



Coordinator for Academic Relations and
External Analytical Support

Attachment

STAT

SOVIET SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY
QUESTIONS FOR DISCUSSION

1. What factors have been responsible for the following paradox? The USSR's gigantic investment in S&T has produced the largest S&T community in the world, yet the USSR lags behind the US in most S&T areas.
2. How would a drastic US slowdown or cutoff of technology sales and scientific exchanges impact on Soviet S&T performance and military capabilities?

SOVIET SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY
QUESTIONS FOR DISCUSSION

1. What factors (historical/cultural, systemic, organizational) have affected Soviet S&T performance to date?
2. To what extent have these factors been responsible for the following paradox: USSR's gigantic investment in S&T has produced the largest S&T community in the world; yet, it lags behind the US in most S&T areas?
3. Within this paradoxical situation, why is the Soviet military S&T performance relatively better than the civilian?
4. How does US R&D and production approach differ from that of the Soviets? What factors account for US S&T success compared with Soviet results to date?
5. How would a drastic US slowdown or cutoff of technology sales and scientific exchanges impact on Soviet S&T performance and military capabilities?

STAT

Approved For Release 2005/01/10 : CIA-RDP86B00985R000200150005-0

Approved For Release 2005/01/10 : CIA-RDP86B00985R000200150005-0

DISCUSSION/DINNER
with
The Director of Central Intelligence
18 October 1978

"Soviet Science & Technology Lags"

STAT
STAT
STAT
Admiral Stansfield Turner - Director of Central Intelligence
Mr. Robert R. Bowie - Deputy Director of National Foreign Assessment
Mr. Leslie Dirks - Deputy Director for Science and Technology
[redacted] Deputy Director of Scientific Intelligence
Mr. Hans Heymann - National Intelligence Officer for Political-Economy
[redacted] National Intelligence Officer for the USSR and
Eastern Europe
[redacted] Assistant National Intelligence Officer for Special
Studies
- Coordinator for Academic Relations and External
Analytical Support

GUESTS

Dr. Charles M. Huggins
Manager, International Programs
Corporate Research and Development
General Electric Company

Dr. Herbert Fusfeld
Director, Center for Science and Technology Policy
Graduate School of Public Administration
New York University

Dr. Betsy Anker-Johnson
Associate Director of the
Argonne National Laboratory

Dr. H. Guyford Stever, former Science Advisor
to the President

Dr. Robert R. Fossum
Director, Advanced Research Projects Agency
Department of Defense

STAT

Approved For Release 2005/01/10 : CIA-RDP86B00985R000200150005-0

Next 1 Page(s) In Document Exempt

Approved For Release 2005/01/10 : CIA-RDP86B00985R000200150005-0